

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/761,618         | 01/21/2004                        | Sanford F. Campbell  | PENT-01034US0       | 3523             |  |
|                    | 7590 03/30/200<br>EN MARCUS & DEN | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 575 MARKET         | STREET SUITE 2500                 | LEE, KEVIN L         |                     |                  |  |
| SAN FRANCIS        | SCO, CA 94105                     | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                    |                                   | 3753                 |                     |                  |  |
|                    |                                   |                      | =                   | <u>.</u>         |  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE              | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |  |
| 31 D               | 31 DAYS 03/30/2007 PAPER          |                      |                     |                  |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|   |  | A   | pplication No.   |  | Applicant(s)  |         |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 1   | 10/761,618   |  | CAMPBELL ET AL.   |         |  |  |
|   |  | E   | xaminer  | "  | Art Unit  |         |  |  |
|   |  |   | EVIN L. LEE  |  | 3753  |         |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>r Reply   | nication appea  | rs on the cover s  | heet with the co   | orrespondence ad  | Idress  |  |  |
| WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re  | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DATI<br>s of 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>munication.<br>tatutory period will a<br>will, by statute, cau | E OF THIS COM  a). In no event, however  apply and will expire SIX  use the application to b | MMUNICATION  or, may a reply be time  X (6) MONTHS from the tecome ABANDONED | l.<br>ely filed<br>the mailing date of this c<br>O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| · —   | ,  |   | tion is non-final.   |  |   |         |  |  |
| ,   | <del></del>  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | on of Claims   | ·   | •  | ·  |   |         |  |  |
| 4\⊠   | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the  | application   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| •   | <ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| •   | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restrict   | ion and/or ele  | ction requiremen   | nt.  |   |         |  |  |
| •   | • • •  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | on Papers  |   |  | •  |   |         |  |  |
| ,   | The specification is objected to by the  |   |  |  | _   |         |  |  |
| •   | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any obje  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including   | -   | •  |  |   |         |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected t  | o by the Exam   | niner. Note the a  | ittached Office  | Action or form P  | IO-152. |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |   |         |  |  |
| Attachment  1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform   |  |   | 4)   | nterview Summary aper No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pather:                | (PTO-413)<br>ite  |         |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to backup valve comprising a housing, timing apparatus and an adjustable flow controller, classified in class 137, subclass 624.11.
- II. Claims 10-20, drawn to an apparatus comprising a housing having an inlet and a first and second outlet, a valve structure positioned in the housing for directing fluid to the first or second outlet, classified in class 137, subclass 862.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design and mode of operation. The first group controls the timing of the water flowing from the inlet to one of the two outlets and the second group selectively starts and stops the flow of fluid from the inlet to one of the two outlets. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Page 3

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/761,618 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

### **Claim Objections**

Claim 20 is noted to be lacking a period at the end of the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L. LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC KEASEL can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARCH 28, 2007

**Risvin L**ee Primary Examiner